



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/427,509	10/26/1999	GEORGE A TE	F19-99-130	3297
30743 75	590 01/21/2005		EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			ZAND, KAMBIZ	
SUITE 340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	20190		2132	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/427,509	TE ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Kambiz Zand	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the composition of the composi	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate e unt of the fee. The appropriate e originally set in the final Office ac	extension extension ction; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifyin	g the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	, , 		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amend	lment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	′
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13,14,21,34 and 35</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12, 15-20, 22-33 and 36-37</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	61 bent	3	
	GILBER' SUPERVISORY	TO BARRÓN JA PATENT EXAMINER BY CENTER 2100	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As per Applicant's remarks dated 12/20/2004, examiner refers Applicant to the following: 1- Examiner withdrwas rejection of claims 1-37 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph due to applicant's persuasive arguments. 2- As per applicant's arguments that Ahlberg et al does not teach or suggests a list of such resources or a list of users and editing them (see page 13 of the response), examiner has refered applicant to fig.20, although fig.20 does disclose an applet for modification of user's profile which indicates list of users or resources user has access to, examiner further refers applicant t fig.10 where block 236, 238,240,246 and 266 disclose adding user to a list of customers or users and services or resources associated with the users; further block 242,244 disclose a table of users and resources that controls and manage by system administrator; fig.14 disclose block 316 in relationship with block 202 and 314 disclose client or user relationship with user application security; fig.15 block 31 and 202 disclose a security pick lists; fig.23 disclose the main edirtor is administrator either internally or externally. Examiner also refers applicant to the entire reference for detailed.

3- Examiner however agrees with part of applicant's arguments with respect to using access profiles for accessing resources in relation ship with editing detection in order to authenticate a user and withdrwas rejection of claims 13, 14, 21,34 and 35; therefore claims 13, 14, 21, 34 and 35 are objected to but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.